

# Environmental Health Disparities: A Framework Integrating Psychosocial and Environmental Concepts

**Gilbert C. Gee and Devon C. Payne-Sturges**

**doi:10.1289/ehp.7074 (available at <http://dx.doi.org/>)**

**Online 16 August 2004**



Revised: July 27, 2004

**Environmental Health Disparities: A Framework Integrating  
Psychosocial and Environmental Concepts**

Gilbert C. Gee, PhD<sup>1</sup> and Devon C. Payne-Sturges, DrPH<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Michigan School of Public Health  
Department of Health Behavior and Health Education  
<sup>2</sup> U.S. EPA Office of Policy, Economics and Innovation  
National Center for Environmental Economics

Address correspondence to:

Gilbert C. Gee, PhD  
University of Michigan School of Public Health  
Department of Health Behavior and Health Education  
1420 Washington Heights, Room M5224  
Ann Arbor, MI 48109-2029  
[gilgee@umich.edu](mailto:gilgee@umich.edu)  
734 615-7825

**Running title:** “Environmental Health Disparities: A Framework”

**Key words:** health disparities, review, psychosocial, race, ethnicity, framework, environmental, stress, environmental justice

**Acknowledgements:** We thank Marc Zimmerman and Onyemaechi Nweke for their helpful comments with previous drafts of this manuscript. **Disclaimer:** The views expressed in this document are those of the authors and do not represent official U.S. EPA Policy. There are no conflicts of interest.

**Abbreviations:** EDP = exposure disease paradigm

## **Outline of section headers:**

Abstract

Introduction

Health Disparities and the Environment

The Stress-Exposure Disease Framework

- The Exposure Disease Paradigm

- Race and Residential Location

- Environmental Burdens: Environmental Justice

- Structural Factors

- Community Stressors

  - Health effects of stress

  - Types of community stressors

  - Racial disparities in exposure to stressors

- Neighborhood Resources

- Community stress

Future Directions

Conclusion

**Abstract:**

Although it is often acknowledged that social and environmental factors interact to produce racial and ethnic environmental health disparities, it is still unclear how this occurs. Despite continued controversy, the environmental justice movement has provided some insight by suggesting that disadvantaged communities face greater likelihood of exposure to ambient hazards. The exposure disease paradigm has long suggested that differential “vulnerability” may modify the effects of toxins on biological systems. However, relatively little work has been done to specify whether racial and ethnic minorities may have greater vulnerability than majority populations, and further, what these vulnerabilities may be. We suggest that psychosocial stress may be the vulnerability factor that links social conditions with environmental hazards.

Psychosocial stress can lead to acute and chronic changes in the functioning of body systems (e.g. immune) and also lead directly to illness.

We present a multidisciplinary framework integrating these ideas. We argue that residential segregation leads to differential experiences of community stress, exposure to pollutants, and access to community resources. When not counterbalanced by resources, stressors may lead to heightened vulnerability to environmental hazards.

## **Introduction**

The elimination of disparities in environmental health requires attention to both environmental hazards and social conditions (EPA, 2003). However, there are two major challenges implicit in this statement. First, is to understand how social processes may interrelate with environmental toxins. Second, is to understand why some groups experience greater illness compared to other groups. Our purpose of this article is to provide a multidisciplinary framework that addresses both issues.

We extend the work of Sexton and colleagues (1993), who documented how the exposure-disease paradigm could explain variation in the health of disadvantaged populations. Implicit in their framework is the idea that disadvantaged populations encounter greater susceptibility to environmental hazards. However, it is unclear what these susceptibility factors might be.

We suggest that psychosocial stress is a key component of differential susceptibility. Stressors, when not ameliorated by resources, may directly lead to health disparities. Additionally, stressors may amplify the effects of toxins. Residential segregation may be one important reason why communities differ in these exposures (Massey and Denton, 1993).

Our framework is built on an ecological perspective, suggesting that health disparities result not only from individual factors, but also from factors operating at multiple levels (Bronfenbrenner 1989; Sallis and Owen 1997). Reinvigoration in ecological approaches has paralleled the development of statistical techniques of multi-level modeling (e.g. hierarchical linear models), an appreciation that ecological factors

may not necessarily lead to the ecological fallacy, and a renewed interest in the role of context in health promotion (Diez-Roux 2000; Green and Kreuter 1999)

### **Health Disparities and the Environment**

Disparities exist for many health outcomes, including cancer, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and mortality (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services 2000). Although there has been a national decrease in disparities between 1990-1998 (Keppel et al. 2002), some regions have reported an increase in disparities during the same period (Margollos, et al, 2004).

Environmental conditions are believed to play an important role in producing and maintaining health disparities (Lee 2002; Sexton 2000). Minority neighborhoods tend to have higher rates of mortality, morbidity, and health risk factors compared to white neighborhoods, even after accounting for economic and other characteristics (Cubbin et al. 2001; Geronimus 2000).

### **The Stress-Exposure-Disease Framework**

The Stress-Exposure-Disease Framework (Figure 1) provides a conceptual framework from which to understand the relationship between race, environmental conditions and health. It extends Sexton's (1993) framework by: (1) explicitly hypothesizing that residential segregation is a major reason why "race" is important; (2) incorporating an ecological, or multi-level perspective; (3) arguing that racial variation in stressors may account for differences in vulnerability to health risks.

=====  
Figure 1 about here  
=====

Reflecting the multi-level approach, the top panel of Figure 1 emphasizes community processes, while the bottom panel displays individual mechanisms. The tan shading reflects the Exposure-Disease Paradigm. To simplify our presentation, we dichotomize between individual and community processes. However, many processes are interrelated. For example, community wealth is partly a function of individual wealth (e.g. when individuals contribute to the tax base) and individual wealth is also partly determined by community wealth (e.g. when rising property values benefit individual homeowners).

The framework shows that ethnicity is highly correlated with residential location, with minorities and whites often living segregated from one another. Differential residential location comes with differential exposure to health risks. In particular, neighborhood stressors and pollution sources create adverse health conditions, which are counterbalanced by neighborhood resources. Structural factors help determine the boundaries from which health promotion is possible and partially determine the contemporary state of stressors, resources, and pollution in a community. When community stressors and pollution sources outweigh neighborhood resources, levels of community stress manifest or increase. Community stress is a state of ecological vulnerability that may translate into individual stressors, which in turn, may lead to individual stress. Individual stress may then make individuals more vulnerable to illness when they are exposed to environmental hazards. Further, compromises in individual and community health may further weaken community resources, leading to a vicious cycle. Hence, we include in our framework a return loop from health back to stress.



As an example, zoning policies and tax incentives (structural factors), may encourage the entry of new pollutant industries. The increase in pollutants may lead to economic and social uncertainty (stressors) by driving down local property values, increasing the flight of jobs, and germinating a climate of uncertainty and fear. Neighborhood organizations (resources) may not be able to counterbalance these effects, leading to a state of community vulnerability (community stress). Community level vulnerability may in turn, may translate to individual vulnerability, such as when individuals are terminated from work or when individuals become anxious about perceived toxic exposures. When personal coping resources do not adequately counterbalance these external insults, individual stress and illness may result. Individual illness, in turn, may lead to further individual vulnerability, such as by reducing the ability to exercise. Additionally, individuals may affect their communities, such as when disaffected individuals cease participating in neighborhood organizations. Health disparities may arise because minorities are segregated into neighborhoods with high levels of community stress.

We do not explicitly examine the issue of genetic susceptibility in this framework for three reasons. First, we focus on factors that are amenable to policy change and social action. Second, genetic susceptibility is partly subsumed in the exposure disease paradigm because they are presumed to partially determine one's ability to defend against hazards. Third, while genetics are important in the etiology of many illnesses, it is likely that genetic factors do not explain racial health disparities (Cooper 1984; Cooper et al. 2003; Garte 2002; LaVeist 1994). It is often acknowledged that race is a social construct. What that means is that racial groups are not inherent biological

taxons, but represent societally defined categories during a particular point in history and place. For example, prior to 1989, the child of a black father and a white mother would be classified as black, but after 1989, the same child would be classified as white (LaVeist, 1994). Further, should the child have been born in Brazil, rather than the U.S., she would have been classified as Mulatto. Thus, racial designations are the product of social consensus and public policy, rather than biology *per se*.

Additionally, “genetically identified” groups tend to correlate poorly with socially identified groups because there is more genetic variation within than between groups (Lewontin 1982; Mountain and Cavalli-Sforza 1997; see Garte, 2002 for review). For example, genetic differences between any two Italians appear five-fold greater than the difference between an Italian and a Japanese, African, or New Guinean (Mountain and Cavalli-Sforza 1997). Observations such as these have led Cooper and colleagues (2003) to conclude, “Race... has not shown to provide a useful categorization of genetic information about the response to drugs, diagnosis, or causes of disease.”

We now review the science that informs this framework, beginning with the exposure disease paradigm.

### **The Exposure Disease Paradigm**

The Exposure-Disease Paradigm (EDP) is a well-known model that shows how environmental toxins might cause disease (Lioy 1990; National Research Council 1987; National Research Council 1991b; National Research Council 1991a). It is a continuum that includes the emission of a contaminant from a source through human exposure to the occurrence of a health effect.

Susceptibility/vulnerability intersects the continuum, increasing or decreasing resistance to absorption or and/or effect from toxins. The term susceptibility/vulnerability has been used broadly to cover both biological and non-biological factors, including genetic predisposition, pre-existing health conditions, and social conditions. The exact susceptibility/vulnerability factors and their pathways intersecting along the EDP are not well understood. We argue later that community and individual stress is one type of susceptibility factor.

### Race and Residential Location

Segregation, the spatial separation of the residences of racial groups from one another, has persisted for many decades (Massey and Denton 1993; Iceland et al. 2002) . Table 1 shows the segregation of Blacks, Hispanics and Asians compared to whites from 1980 to 2000 for metropolitan areas, as measured with the index of dissimilarity (U.S. Census, 2004). Scored from 0-100, a given value of the index indicates the percent of that group who would have to move to integrate the metro area.

Segregation with whites are highest for African Americans, followed by Hispanics, Asian Americans and Native Americans. In the average U.S. metropolis in the year 2000, about two-thirds of blacks (or whites) would have to move to another neighborhood in order to desegregate that metropolis.

Black-white and Native American-White segregation has declined since the 1980's, but segregation levels for Hispanics and Asians have remained stable. Further, most of the decline in black-white segregation has occurred in metro areas with the fewest numbers of blacks (Logan 2003).

=====  
Table 1 about here  
=====.

The causes of segregation are still debated. Some have suggested that segregation is an artifact of broader shifts in the economy – including the decline of manufacturing jobs and suburbanization – that have left behind a cadre of the poor that are disproportionately racial minorities (Wilson 1987; Wilson 1996). Others have postulated that segregation results from personal preferences of homebuyers to cluster together (Schelling 1971). Most research has argued that segregation results from institutionalized discriminatory practices in the housing market (e.g. mortgage redlining, racialized “steering”) that persists to the current day (Massey and Denton 1993; Meyer 2000; Munnell et al. 1996; Squires 1994).

Some evidence suggests that the mechanisms for segregation vary by ethnic group and region, but most ethnic groups have encountered discriminatory treatment historically and contemporaneously (Squires 1994; Feagin 2003; Krieger et al. 1993; Williams 1997a). For example, a recent audit study suggested that consistent adverse treatment in homebuying was similar for Asian American and African American homebuyers, with one in five potential homebuyers disfavored compared to whites (Turner et al. 2003). The causes of segregation notwithstanding, it is clear that neighborhoods do cluster on the basis of race and ethnicity.

Studies have reported that segregation is associated with numerous outcomes, including infant mortality (LaVeist 1989; LaVeist 1993; Haynatzka 2002), adult mortality (Polednak 1991;1996; Kindig et al. 2002; Hart et al. 1998), tuberculosis (Acevedo-Garcia 2003), homicide (Peterson and Krivo 1993; Peterson and Krivo 1999), teenage

childbearing (Sucoff and Upchurch 1998), exposure to tobacco and alcohol advertising (Alaniz 1998; Luke et al. 2000; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services 1998), and increased exposure to air pollution (Lopez 2002).

Segregation may thus be one critical link between race and environmental health disparities because racial groups, on average, occupy different residential areas. This may lead to differential exposure to health risk factors as well as differential access to resources. Segregation is multi-factorial, often conceptualized around five dimensions (Massey and Denton 1988, 1993; Avacedo-Garcia 2000). *Evenness*, the dimension receiving the greatest empirical study, refers to the inequitable distribution of groups over an area. Other dimensions include: *Isolation* (degree of potential contact between 2 groups within a city), *concentration* (extent to which minority groups are confined to a compact area within the city), *centralization* (degree to which minorities are clustered around the center of a city), or *clustering* (the extent to which minority neighborhoods are adjacent to one another). Our discussion refers to the general principle of segregation, although it will be an important research endeavor to examine which specific dimensions of segregation are related to environmental health disparities.

Having established a link between race and residence, we now turn to the proximal mechanisms that may account for the relationship between environmental conditions and racial health disparities.

#### Environmental hazards and pollutants

For space considerations, we assume readers of this journal are familiar with research on environmental justice and environmental health disparities and provide only a brief overview. Environmentally relevant disparities are evident in a variety of

outcomes, including asthma, cancer and chemical poisoning (Institute of Medicine, 1999). Although debated, the main hypothesis explaining these disparities are that disadvantaged communities encounter greater exposure to environmental toxins such as air pollution, pesticides, and lead (Burger et al. 2001; Calderon et al. 1993; Corburn 2002; Fitzgerald et al. 1998; Institute of Medicine 1999; Morello-Frosch 2001; Moses et al. 1993; Northridge and Shepard, 1997; Perera 2003; Pirkle et al. 1998; Woodruff et al. 2003). Mediators of the relationship between toxic exposure and disadvantaged status include the siting of pollution sources (e.g. waste incinerators), illegal dumping, poor enforcement of environmental regulations, inadequate response to community complaints (Maantay 2001; Goldman and Fritton 1994; United Church of Christ 1987; Institute of Medicine 1999; Anderton et al. 1994; Anderton et al. 1997; Bullard 1983; Bullard 1990; Bullard and Wright 1993; Mohai 1992; Perlin 1995; Perlin et al. 1999; Perlin et al. 2001; United Church of Christ 1987; United States General Accounting Office 1983).

### Structural factors

Structural factors refer to the historically evolving infrastructure that provides *boundaries for health promotion*. That is, structural factors are constraints that shape how new conditions emerge as salutogens or pathogens in a community. The local economy, for example, is a structural factor that will help determine a community's ability to mobilize resources in order to reject undesirable changes (e.g. introduction of a waste facility) or develop desirable ones (e.g. construction of a park).

We review several of the structural factors that may be especially pertinent to environmental health disparities: the local and national economy, neighborhood physical conditions, land use patterns, and health infrastructure. This is not an exhaustive list, but meant to be illustrative.

One primary effect of residential segregation may be to concentrate disadvantage (Massey and Denton 1993). Compared to whites, minorities are overrepresented in neighborhoods with diminishing and constrained economic opportunities (Jargowsky 1997; Wilson 1987). For example, in Los Angeles in 1990, only 4.9% of blacks lived in high job growth areas, compared to 52.3% of whites (Pastor 2001a). Cutler and Glaeser (1997) reported that a one standard deviation decrease in segregation (13%) would eliminate one-third of the black white differences in education and employment. Thus, segregation may not only concentrate poverty, but it may be partly responsible for the production of poverty among racial minorities (Massey and Denton 1993; Williams and Collins 2001).

There is a clear association between socioeconomic position and health, such that individuals of higher social standing tend to have improved health compared to those of lower standing (Evans 2002; Kaplan et al. 2001; Krieger and Fee 1994; Marmot et al. 1987; Marmot et al. 1998; O'Neill et al. 2003; Williams and Collins 1995). Further, the relationship between socioeconomic position and health holds not only at the individual level, but also at the community (Haan et al. 1987; Kaplan 1996). That is, persons living in poor neighborhoods, even after accounting for their individual socioeconomic characteristics, tend to have worse health outcomes (Diez-Roux et al. 2001; Merkin et al. 2002; Waitzman and Smith 1998; Winkleby and Cubbin 2003).

Neighborhood economic deprivation may compromise health-promoting resources (Diez-Roux et al. 2001). For example, poor and minority neighborhoods tend to have fewer grocery stores with healthy foods (Morland et al. 2002) and fewer pharmacies with needed medications (Morrison et al. 2000). Poor nutrition can increase susceptibility to environmental pollutants by compromising immune function (Beck 1988; Rios et al. 1993). Additionally, disadvantaged neighborhoods are also exposed to greater health hazards, including tobacco and alcohol advertisements, toxic waste incinerators, and air pollution (Morello-Frosch et al. 2002). Finally, economic stress within a community may exacerbate tensions between social groups, magnify workplace stressors, and induce “maladaptive” coping behaviors, such as smoking and alcohol (Brenner 1995). Tobacco and alcohol use can increase susceptibility to environmental toxins that are normally metabolized by impairing host defense (Rios et al. 1993).

In general, racial minorities have lower socioeconomic position compared to whites. Although it is intuitive to hypothesize that disparities in health arise because of socioeconomic differences between racial groups, studies often find that racial disparities persist even after accounting for socioeconomic factors (Williams 1999; Sorlie et al. 1995; Hayward et al. 2000).

Although socioeconomic differences do not completely explain away racial disparities, it is often argued that social class is an important mediator. That is, it is hypothesized that race determines one's economic resources, which in turn determines health (Williams and Collins 1995). Thus, while socioeconomic conditions do not fully account for health disparities, they are a necessary part of the equation.



Neighborhood physical conditions present another structural factor that may contribute to health disparities (Cohen et al. 2003). Minorities are more likely to live in areas with building code violations and neighborhoods with deteriorated housing (Perera et al. 2002; Rosenbaum et al. 1999). In 1999, 3.4% of blacks, 3.8% of Hispanics, and 1.7% of Asian and Pacific Islanders reported living in housing units with severe problems with heating, plumbing, electricity, public areas or maintenance, compared to 1.5% for whites (U.S.Census Bureau 2000). Substandard housing may contribute to a variety of problems, including exposure to toxins, increased risk of injuries from falls and fires, and illness due to ineffective waste disposal and presence of disease vectors (Bashir 2002; Jacobs et al. 2002; Krieger and Higgins 2002; Northridge et al. 2003).

Urban minorities tend to fare worse than their counterparts in rural areas (Geronimus et al. 1999; Geronimus et al. 2001). This may be due in part to land use patterns in urban areas. In Detroit, many minority neighborhoods exist next to highways that expose residents to hazards (Schulz et al. 2002). Sugrue (1996, p.47) argues that “Detroit’s highway planners were careful to ensure that construction of new ... expressways would only minimally disrupt middle-class residential areas, but they had little such concern for black neighborhoods.” Similarly, New York City rezoned its neighborhoods between 1961 to 1998 so as increase manufacturing zones in areas with higher minority populations and to decrease those zones in areas with fewer minorities (Mantaay, 2001). Those rezoning efforts led to higher concentration of industrial burden within manufacturing designated areas. Further, some policies that appear neutral *prima facie* may result in adverse impact on already disadvantaged communities, as in

the example of emissions trading systems and their potential to create pollution “hotspots”(Solomon and Lee 2000; Schmidt 2001).

Health infrastructure may also be associated with race. Minorities tend to reside in areas with a lower physician per population ratio and medication supply (Morrison et al. 2000; Rosenbaum et al. 1999; Schulz et al. 2002). Community hospitals are more likely to close in urban minority communities (Whiteis 1992). These findings suggest that segregated communities face structural disadvantages in the provision of health services.

Because so many different structural forces appear to confer disadvantage among minority communities, some scholars have suggested that they continue a history of institutionalized discrimination against minorities (Jones, 2000; Williams, 2001; Krieger et al., 1993; Feagin, 2003; Gee, 2002; Squires, 1994; Massey and Denton, 1993). This discrimination may not have a purposeful intent, but still may confer adverse impact.

#### Community stressors:

Community stress theory derives from a century of research on the stress process among individuals (Lazarus and Folkman 1984; McEwen 1998; Selye 1936; Steptoe and Feldman 2001; Schulz et al. 2000). *Stress* is a state of activation of physical and psychological readiness to act in order to help an organism survive external threats. *Stressors* are the factors that produce stress, and include phenomena like crime (Morenoff et al. 2001 ), noise (Babisch et al. 2001; Ouis 2001), traffic (Gee and Takeuchi, 2004), litter, density and residential crowding (Fleming, 1987; Evans,

1993). Stressors can result directly from environmental hazards, including technological and natural disasters (Baum, et al, 1983; Brown, 2002).

### *Health effects of stress*

Stressors can trigger the sympatho-adrenal system, hallmarked by rapid release of adrenalin and noradrenalin, leading to various “fight or flight” responses, including arousal, bronchodilation, tachycardia, and increased blood pressure. The hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal system is also activated, signified by release of corticotrophin releasing factor, adrenocorticotrophic hormone and cortisol. These glucocorticoids have several metabolic and psychological effects, including the mobilization of energy reserves, suppression of the immune system, and heightened vigilance. Chronic activation of the stress system is believed to lead to allostatic load, defined as the “wear and tear” on organ systems resulting from stress (McEwen 1998; Pope, et al., 1999). A full discussion of the biology of stress is beyond the scope of this paper, but can be found in several accounts (Brunner 2000; Hadley 1992; McEwen 1998).

The key point is that stressors can cause illness by weakening the body’s ability to defend against external challenges. As an example, Cohen and colleagues (Cohen et al. 1991) asked volunteers to self-rate their levels of stress, then randomized them to received nasal drops containing either placebo or respiratory viruses. Rates of respiratory infection and clinically diagnosed colds followed a positive dose-response with level of psychological stress. Findings from this controlled experiment were further unaffected by controls for a variety of factors (e.g. allergic status).

Intriguingly, some evidence suggests that stress may influence the internal dose of a given toxin. This is because stress may: (1) increase the absorption of toxins into the body through increased respiration, perspiration, and consumption (Gordon 2003); (2) compromise host defense systems (McEwen 1998); (3) and directly cause illness, which in turn, may lead to an amplification loop, whereby sick individuals are less likely to cope with environmental toxins (Rios et al. 1993). Stress may induce or unmask a latent effect of a toxicant, possibly altering basal levels of neurofunctioning and shifting the threshold for neurotoxicity (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services 1995).

Two factors are purported to determine individual response to stress: how one appraises the situation and their general state of physical health (Lazarus and Folkman 1984; McEwen 1998). Coping resources, such as social support, help determine the extent to which a stressor is perceived as a threat and subsequent health (Israel et al. 2002). For example, workers with high levels of job strain and low levels of co-worker support have higher risk of cardiovascular disease than those with similar levels of strain and more support (Johnson et al. 1996). Additionally, physical illness will impair an individual's ability to respond to stressors. Individual stress and coping have macro level analogues, community stressors and neighborhood resources.

### *Types of Community stressors*

Community stressors can be categorized into two major types, physical and psychosocial. Physical conditions, including noise, temperature, humidity, barometric/water pressure, visible light, geomagnetism, radiation and particulate matter may contribute to stress (see Gordon, 2003 for review). These stressors can induce a

physiological response that makes the body more susceptible to illness. Heat stress, for example, induces sweating and increased skin blood flow, which in turn can facilitate the transcutaneous absorption of pesticides (Chang et al. 1994; Funckes et al. 1963; Wester et al. 1996). Individuals subject to ambient noise have higher levels noradrenalin, a stress biomarker (Babisch et al. 2001). In a natural experiment, Evans and colleagues (Evans et al. 1998) found that the chronic exposure to aircraft noise elevated resting blood pressure, norepinephrine and epinephrine biomarker levels, and decreased self-reported quality of life over a two year period.

Psychosocial conditions -- including crowding, social disorganization, racial discrimination, fear, and economic deprivation – may also be sources of stress (Krieger and Higgins 2002; Macintyre et al. 2002; Schulz et al. 2000; Steptoe and Feldman 2001). One stressor that has received extensive attention is fear of crime (Morenoff 2003; Warr and Ellison 2000). Minority neighborhoods tend to have higher crime rates, which may contribute to health disparities. Perceptions of crime and disorder within an individual's community has been associated with numerous outcomes, including anxiety depression, post-traumatic stress disorder, and substance use (Aneshensel and Sucoff 1996; Cutrona et al. 2000; Fick and Thomas 1995; Geis and Ross 1998; Ross et al. 2000; Ross and Jang 2000). Morenoff (2003) found that the neighborhood violent crime rate was one of the “most robust” environmental predictors of infant birth weight, after controlling for both individual (e.g. smoking during pregnancy) and neighborhood characteristics (e.g. % poor families).

Physical and psychosocial stressors may interact with one another, as seen with natural and technological disasters (Arata et al. 2000; Baum et al. 1983; Kaniasty and

Norris 2000). For example, the trauma of the Love Canal incident in New York resulted from both the chemical hazards as well as the public perceptions (Edelstein and Wandersman 1987; Gibs 1983, Holden 1980). Further, the relationship between environmental and subjective stressors occurs not only for highly salient events, but also for everyday events. Gee and Takeuchi (2004), using multilevel models, reported that persons perceiving stress due to automobile traffic had greater psychological distress and lowered general health status than those perceiving less stress. However, these outcomes were worst for persons perceiving high stress *and* living in high traffic areas.

#### *Racial disparities in exposure to stressors*

Further, there are racial disparities in the burden of stressors that accumulate over the life course (Geronimus et al. 2001; Holland et al. 2000; Williams, 1997a; Krieger, et al., 1993; Jones, 2000). Some have called this racially differential burden of cumulative stress the “weathering hypothesis” (Geronimus, 1996 Astone et al. 2002). One of the most prominent stressors may be racial discrimination (Gee 2002; Krieger and Sidney 1996; LaVeist et al. 2000; Williams et al. 1997a; Williams and Neighbors 2001). Because racial discrimination has profoundly shaped the experiences of racial groups, discrimination may be among the factors that shape health disparities. Evidence suggests that racial discrimination still occurs in the present day, especially in structurally important domains such as housing, education and employment (Essed 1992; Feagin 2000). Audit studies send a white and a minority prospective tester with identical portfolios (e.g. similar income and job titles) to assess a given housing market.

These audits have consistently found that whites are favored over minorities.

Hispanics, for example, are more likely to be quoted a higher rent for a given unit than their white counterparts (Turner and Skidmore 2001). Other studies have shown that minorities are more likely to face discrimination in applying for a job (Kirschenman and Neckerman 1991), or shopping (Lee 2000). Further, discriminatory treatment within the health care system also might contribute to disparities (Krieger 1999). Minorities appear to have longer waiting times for kidney transplants (Eggers 1995; Klassen et al. 2002), liver transplants (Kjellstrand 1988; Young and Gaston 2000), and report less satisfaction with their medical visits (Cooper-Patrick et al. 1999; Saha et al. 2003). The Institute of Medicine's (2002) review concluded:

“Racial and ethnic minorities tend to receive a lower quality of healthcare than non-minorities, even when access-related factors, such as patients' insurance status and income are controlled... the study committee found evidence that stereotyping, biases, and uncertainty on the part of healthcare providers can all contribute to unequal treatment....”

Stress from discrimination may lead to illness. Kessler and colleagues (1999) have suggested, “the conjunction of high prevalence and strong impact would mean that discrimination is among the most important of all the stressful experiences that have been implicated as causes of mental health problems.” Studies have reported that stress due to racial discrimination is associated with high blood pressure (Krieger and Sidney 1996), mental health (Dion et al. 1992; Gee 2002; Kessler et al. 1999; Kuo 1976; Williams et al. 1997b), and alcohol consumption (Yen et al. 1999).

### Neighborhood Resources

Although a common argument is that segregation is harmful to the health of minorities, there is some indication that segregation may have a counterbalancing effect by concentrating social resources, such as black political power (LaVeist 1993). Others have reported that the clustering of ethnic groups may build a sense of collective identity that helps mitigate trauma (Mazumdar et al. 2000). Thus, supportive social relationships within minority communities may help promote health and well-being and ameliorate the effects of community risks. Our view is that segregation concentrates both risks and resources. It is not a matter of whether segregation is either “bad” or “good,” but to what degree the negative effects of segregation outweigh positive effects.

Neighborhood resources buffer community stressors (Kretzman and McKnight 1993). Generally, these resources have been conceptualized in terms of relationships between residents, including: social cohesion, social capital, psychological sense of community, informal social control, and community empowerment (Berkman and Glass 2000; Kawachi et al. 1999; Ross and Jang 2000; Sampson et al. 1997). *Social cohesion* is defined as the “extent of connectedness and solidarity among groups in society (Kawachi and Berkman, 2000, p.175).” Essentially, a community with a high degree of social cohesion has strong social ties between members and minimal conflict. *Social capital* can be considered a type of resource that emerges from socially cohesive groups that facilitates collective action. These resources include norms of reciprocity, aid and interpersonal trust.

Collective efficacy, defined as “mutual trust and willingness to intervene for the common good,” (Sampson et al. 1997) may mediate the adverse effects of concentrated disadvantage and fear (Ross and Jang, 2000). Pastor and colleagues (Pastor 2001b)



suggested that social capital was stronger in communities with less “ethnic churning,” referring to the replacement of one minority group with another within a community. They argued that ethnic churning may “weaken the usual social bonds constituted by race and make an area more susceptible to siting of noxious land uses.” Their data indicated that ethnic churning in Los Angeles was associated with the siting of hazardous waste storage and disposal facilities over a two decade period, after adjusting for economic factors.

Another potential resource is residents’ ability to control their environment, which may mitigate community problems in two ways. First, empowered communities may be able to protect themselves from the introduction of new hazards and eliminate extant ones (Bullard and Wright 1993; Lee 1993; Morello-Frosch et al. 2002; Phoenix 1993; Rich et al. 1995; Zimmerman 2000). These communities may also be able to control the political arena that shapes their health beyond the effect of environmental pollutants. Black political participation, defined by the presence of African American legislators, has been associated with lower mortality rates in African American communities (LaVeist 1993). This is possibly due to a higher preponderance among African American communities to provide a wider range of social services compared to white communities (Schneider and Logan 1982). Second, control *per se* may be an important factor determining stress and health. Workers with greater control over their work process have lower risk of cardiovascular disease than workers with less control (Karasek and Theorell 1990; Kuper and Marmot 2003; Landsbergis et al. 1997). Further, collective control by workers and their unions may also provide health benefits (Johnson, 1989; Sorenson, et al, 2004).

## Community Stress

The cumulation of environmental pollutants, structural process, community stressor and neighborhood resources is community stress. Community stress is a state of ecological vulnerability. Community resources help buffer community stressors and protect against environmental exposure, but when resources are inadequate, community stress arises. Structural factors constrain the limits of resources and stressors.

Although several factors cross the threshold from “community” to “individual,” we focus on the intersection between community stress and individual stress. In particular, community stress may itself lead to individual stressors. These individual stressors may in turn, lead to individual stress and subsequent illness. The terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001 provides and an extreme example of how community stress can translate to individuals. The attack was a threat to the American “community.” Although most citizens were not close to the epicenter, many individuals across the United States felt some measure of distress from the attack.(Schuster et al. 2001; Schlenger et al. 2002)

## Future Directions

This framework is meant to stimulate dialogue between environmental and social scientists. Several avenues for future work are suggested. First and foremost, while several components within the framework have undergone extensive study, such as between individual stress and health, relatively little work has attempted to integrate the elements as a whole. Studies are just beginning to consider the connections between

factors at multiple levels, such as between community stress, individual stress, and health. Future work should continue to test the components of the framework and incorporate multi-level modeling (Raudenbush and Bryk 2002). Longitudinal studies will be necessary to establish the temporal ordering between variables.

Second, public health should more seriously consider the role that residential segregation plays in the production of health disparities. Several lines of inquiry are possible with regards to segregation alone. For example, how might environmental risk perception play in maintaining segregation? Are certain dimensions of segregation more important than others? Are the mechanisms linking segregation to health all negative, or might there be some health promoting pathways, such as in the clustering of cultural resources? What are the forms of segregation outside of the U.S.A. and are the mechanisms similar? Does the relationship between segregation and health generalize to all ethnic groups?

Third, we hope that this framework will encourage the environmental justice movement to expand the notion of “environmental hazards” to include community stressors. Are minority communities more likely to receive the siting of workplaces with high job strain (Karaek and Theorell, 1990)? Do differences in community stress lead to the “weathering” (Geronimus 1996) of minority communities compared to whites? This means not only examining the main effects of stress and toxins, but also examining whether psychosocial stress may potentiate (i.e. amplify) the effects of toxins on the body.

Fourth, research should not only examine the relationship between minority communities and exposures, but also, study how the structural conditions of

communities may confer additional vulnerability. Disadvantaged communities may be more vulnerable to exposure to environmental hazards because structural conditions, such as substandard housing, may render them more likely to be exposed than counterparts in more advantaged communities equally distant to these hazards. That is, do minority communities have less protection against a given level of exposure and do these disparities in protection result from differential social policy?

### **Conclusion**

Our work has implications for environmental justice by suggesting that exposure to physical and chemical hazards is only one route whereby neighborhoods impact the health of racial minorities. Health promotion may require policies and interventions aimed at eliminating environmental toxins, fostering community resources, and reducing social stressors. Reduction of the *gap* in health between advantaged and disadvantaged groups, however, may require interventions targeted at eliminating the gap in advantages themselves.

We emphasize racial differences in *exposure to* stress, rather than racial differences in *response to* stress. The former conceptualization emphasizes interventions on macro social policy (e.g. housing policy), whereas the latter perspective emphasizes interventions at the micro level (e.g. psychological counseling or pharmacological agents). While micro approaches are useful, one disadvantage is that individual interventions require tremendous resources in order to manifest outcomes at the population level (and hence, reduce group differences) and further, are less efficient because interventions must be reapplied to each new birth cohort. However, policy

level changes that target socially-produced stressors may prove a promising way to improve the public's health.

## Reference List

- Acevedo-Garcia D. 2000. Residential segregation and the epidemiology of infectious diseases. *Social Science & Medicine* 51:1143-1161.
- Acevedo-Garcia D. 2003. Future directions in residential segregation and health research: a multilevel approach. *Am J Public Health* 93:215-221.
- Alaniz ML. 1998. Alcohol availability and targeted advertising in racial/ethnic minority communities. *Alcohol health and research world* 22:286-289.
- Anderton DL, Anderson AB, Oakes JM, Fraser MR. 1994. Environmental equity: the demographics of dumping. *Demography* 31:229-248.
- Anderton DL, Oakes JM, Egan KL. 1997. Environmental equity in Superfund. Demographics of the discovery and prioritization of abandoned toxic sites. *Eval Rev* 21:3-26.
- Aneshensel CS. 1992. Social stress: theory and research. *Annual Rev Soc* 18:15-38.
- Aneshensel CS, Sucoff CA. 1996. The neighborhood context of adolescent mental health. *J Health Soc Behav* 37:293-310.
- Arata K, Picou JS, Johnson GD, McNally TS. 2000. Coping with Technological Disaster: An Application of the Conservation of Resources Model to the *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill. *J Traumatic Stress* 13:28-39.
- Astone NM, Ensminger ME, Juon HS. 2002. Early adult characteristics and mortality among inner-city African American women. *Am J Public Health* 92:640-645.
- Babisch W, Fromme H, Beyer A, Ising H. 2001. Increased catecholamine levels in urine in subjects exposed to road traffic noise: the role of stress hormones in noise research. *Env International* 26:475-481.
- Bashir SA. 2002. Home is where the harm is: inadequate housing as a public health crisis. *Am J Public Health* 92:733-738.
- Baum A, Fleming R, Singer J. 1983. Coping with victimization by technological disaster. *J Social Issues* 39:117-138.
- Beck BD, Weinstock S. 1988. Age and nutrition. In: *Variations in Susceptibility to Inhaled Pollutants: Identification, Mechanisms, and Policy Implications* (Brian JD, ed).. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.p 104-126.
- Berkman LF, Glass T. 2000. Social integration, social networks, social support, and health. In: *Social epidemiology* (Berkman LF, Kawachi I, eds). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Bronfenbrenner U. 1989. Ecological system theories. *Annals Child Dev* 6:249.
- Brunner EJ. 2000. Toward a new social biology. In: *Social epidemiology*. (Berkman LF, Kawachi I, eds). New York: Oxford University Press.p 306-331.
- Bullard RD. 1983. Solid waste sites and the black Houston community. *Sociol Inq* 53:273-288.
- Bullard RD. 1990. *Dumping in Dixie: Race, Class and Environmental Quality*. Boulder: Westview Press.

- Bullard RD, Wright BH. 1993. Environmental justice for all: community perspectives on health and research needs. *Toxicol Ind Health* 9:821-41.
- Burger J, Gaines KF, Gochfeld M. 2001. Ethnic differences in risk from mercury among Savannah River fishermen. *Risk Anal* 21:533-44.
- Calderon RL, Johnson CC, Jr., Craun GF, Dufour AP, Karlin RJ, Sinks T, et al. 1993. Health risks from contaminated water: do class and race matter? *Toxicol Ind Health* 9:879-900.
- Chang SK, Brownie C, Riviere JE. 1994. Percutaneous absorption of topical parathion through porcine skin: in vitro studies on the effect of environmental perturbations. *J Vet Pharmacol Therap* 17:434-439.
- Cohen DA, Mason K, Bedimo A, Scribner R, Basolo V, Farley TA. 2003. Neighborhood physical conditions and health. *Am J Public Health* 93:467-471.
- Cohen S, Tyrrell DA, Smith AP. 1991. Psychological stress and susceptibility to the common cold. *New Engl J Med* 325:606-612.
- Cooper-Patrick L, Gallo JJ, Gonzales JJ. 1999. Race, gender, and partnership in the patient-physician relationship. *JAMA* 282:583-589.
- Cooper RS. 1984. A note on the biological concept of race and its application in epidemiologic research. *Am Heart J* 108:715-723.
- Cooper RS, Kaufman JS, Ward R. 2003. Race and genomics. *N Engl J Med* 348:1166-1170.
- Corburn J. 2002. Combining community-based research and local knowledge to confront asthma and subsistence-fishing hazards in Greenpoint/Williamsburg, Brooklyn, New York. *Environ Health Perspect* 110 Suppl 2:241-8.
- Cubbin C, LeClere FB, Smith GS. 2000. Socioeconomic status and injury mortality: individual and neighborhood determinants. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 54:524.
- Cubbin C, Hadden WC, Winkleby MA. 2001. Neighborhood context and cardiovascular disease risk factors: the contribution of material deprivation. *Ethn Dis* 11:687-700.
- Cutler E, Glaeser E. 1997. Are ghettos good or bad? *Quarterly J Economics* 112:827-872.
- Cutrona CE, Russell DW, Hessling RM, Brown PA, Murry V. 2000. Personality processes and individual differences-direct and moderating effects of community context on the psychological well-being of African American Women. *J Personality Soc Psychology* 79:1088-1101.
- Deaton A, Lubotsky D. 2003. Mortality, inequality and race in American cities and states. *Social Science & Medicine* 56:1139-1153.
- Diez-Roux A.V., Merkin S.S., Arnett D, Chambless L, Massing M, Nieto F.J., Sorlie P, Szklo M, Tyroler H.A., Watson RL. 2001. Neighborhood of residence and incidence of coronary heart disease. *New Eng J Med* 345:99-106.
- Diez-Roux AV. 1997. Neighborhood environments and coronary heart disease: a multilevel analysis. *Am J Epidemiol* 146:48-63.
- Diez-Roux AV. 1998. Bringing context back into epidemiology: variables and fallacies in multilevel analysis. *Am J Public Health* 88:216-222.

- Diez-Roux AV. 2000. Multilevel analysis in public health research. *Ann Rev Pub Health* 21:171-192.
- Dion KL, Dion KK, Pappas G. 1992. Personality-based hardiness as a buffer for discrimination-related stress in members of Toronto's Chinese community. *Canadian J Behav Sci* 24:517-536.
- Edelstein MR, Wandersman A. 1987. Community dynamics in coping with toxic contaminants. In: *Neighborhood and community environments* (Altman I, Wandersman A, eds). New York: Plenum
- Eggers PW. 1995. Racial Differences in Access to Kidney Transplantation in the Medicare ESRD Population. *Health Care Financing Review* 17:89-103.
- Essed P. 1992. *Understanding everyday racism*. Newbury Park: Sage.
- Evans, G. W. Household crowding and social support: a quasiexperimental analysis. Lepore, S. J. *J Pers and Social Psy*. 65[2], 308-16. 1993.
- Evans GW, Bullinger M, Hygge S. 1998. Chronic Noise Exposure and Physiological Response: A Prospective Study of Children Living under Environmental Stress. *Psychological Science* 9:75-77.
- Evans GW, Kantrowitz E. 2002. Socioeconomic status and health; the potential role of environmental risk exposure. *Ann Rev Pub Health* 23:303-331.
- Feagin JR. 1991. The continuing significance of race: antiblack discrimination in public places. *Am Sociol Rev* 56:101-116.
- Feagin JR. 2000. *Racist America: Roots, current realities, and future reparations*. New York: Routledge.
- Feagin JR, McKinney KD. 2003. *The many costs of racism*. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield Publishers.
- Fick AC, Thomas SM. 1995. Growing up in a violent environment: relationship to health-related beliefs and behaviors. *Youth Society* 27:136-147.
- Fleming, I; Baum, A. Weiss, L. 1987. Social density and perceived control as mediators of crowding stress in high-density residential neighborhoods.. *J Pers and Social Psy*. 52, 899-906.
- Funckes AJ, Hayes JrGR, Hartwell WV. 1963. Urinary excretion of paranitrophenol by volunteers following dermal exposure to parathion at different ambient temperatures. *J Agric Food Chem* 11:455-457.
- Garte S. 2002. The racial genetic paradox in biomedical research and public health. *Public Health Rep* 117:421-425.
- Gee GC. 2002. A multilevel analysis of the relationship between institutional racial discrimination and health status. *Am J Public Health* 92:615-623.
- Gee GC, Takeuchi DT. 2004. Traffic stress, vehicular burden and well-being: A multilevel analysis. *Soc Sci Med* 59:405-414.
- Geis KJ, Ross CE. 1998. A new look at urban alienation: the effect of neighborhood disorder on perceived powerlessness. *Social Psychology Quarterly* 61:232-246.
- Geronimus AT. 1996. Black/white differences in the relationship of maternal age to birthweight: a population-based test of the weathering hypothesis. *Soc Sci Med* 42:589-597.



Geronimus AT, Bound J, Waidmann TA. 1999. Poverty, time, and place: variation in excess mortality across selected U.S. populations, 1980-1990. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 53:325-334.

Geronimus AT, Bound J, Waidmann TA, Colen CG, Steffick D. 2001. Inequality in Life Expectancy, Functional Status, and Active Life Expectancy Across Selected Black and White Population in the United States. *Demography* 38:227-251.

Gibbs LM. 1983. Community response to an emergency situation: psychological destruction and the Love Canal. *Am J Community Psychology* 11:116-125.

Ginexi EM, Weihs K, Simmens SJ, Hoyt DR. 2000. Natural disaster and depression: a prospective investigation of reactions to the 1993 midwest floods. *Am J Comm Psychology* 28:495-518.

Goldman BA, Fitton L. 1994. Toxic Wastes and Race Revisited: an update of the 1987 report on the racial and socioeconomic characteristics of communities with hazardous waste sites. Washington, D.C., Center for Policy Alternatives.

Gordon CJ. 2003. Role of environmental stress in the physiological response to chemical toxins. *Environmental Research* 92:1-7.

Green LW, Kreuter MW. 1999. Health promotion planning: an educational and ecological approach. Mountain View: Mayfield Publishing Company.

Haan M, Kaplan GA, Camacho T. 1987. Poverty and health: prospective evidence from the Alameda County Study. *Am J Epidemiol* 125:989-998.

Hadley ME. 1992. Endocrinology. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.

Hart KD, Kunitz SJ, Sell RR, Mukamel DB. 1998. Metropolitan governance, residential segregation, and mortality among African Americans. *Am J Public Health* 88:434-438.

Hayward MD, Crimmins EM, Miles TP, Yang Y. 2000. The significance of socioeconomic status in explaining the race gap in chronic health conditions. *Am Sociol Rev* 65:910-930.

Holden C. 1980. Love Cana residents under stress. *Science* 208:1242-1244.

Holland P, Berney L, Blane D, Davey-Smith G, Gunnell DJ, Montgomery SM. 2000. Life course accumulation of disadvantage: childhood health and hazard exposure during adulthood. *Soc Sci Med* 50:1285-1295.

Haynatzka V, Peck M, Sappenfield W, Lysau S, Schoendorf K, Santibanez S. 2004. Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Infant Mortality Rates --- 60 Largest U.S. Cities, 1995--1998. *MMWR* 51:329-332.

Iceland J., Weinberg DH, Steinmetz E. U.S. Census Bureau, Series CENSR-3, Racial and Ethnic Residential Segregation in the United States: 1980-2000. 2002. Washington, D.C., U.S. Government Printing Office.

Institute of Medicine. 1999. Toward Environmental Justice: Research, Education, and Health Policy Needs. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press.

Institute of Medicine. 2002. Unequal Treatment: Confronting Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health Care. Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press.

Israel BA, Schulz AJ, Parker EA, Becker AB. 1998. Review of community-based research: assessing partnership approaches to improve public health. *Ann Rev Pub Health* 19:173-202.

- Israel BA, Farquhar S, James SA, Schulz AM, Parker EA. 2002. The relationship between social support, stress, and health among women on Detroit's East Side. *Health Educ Behav* 29:342-360.
- Jackson SA, Anderson RT, Johnson NJ, Sorlie PD. 2000. The relation of residential segregation to all-cause mortality: a study in black and white. *Am J Public Health* 90:615-617.
- Jacobs DE, Clickner RP, Zhou JY, Viet SM, Marker DA, Rogers JW, et al. 2002. The prevalence of lead-based paint hazards in U.S. housing. *Environ Health Perspect* 110:599-606.
- Jargowsky P. 1997. *Poverty and Place: Ghettos, Barrios, and the American city*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Jones CP. 2000. Levels of racism: a theoretical framework and gardener's tale. *Am J Public Health* 90:1212-1215.
- Johnson JV. 1989. Collective control: strategies for survival in the workplace. *Int J Health Serv* 19:469-480.
- Johnson JV, Stewart W, Hall EM, Fredlund P, Theorell T. 1996. Long-term psychosocial work environment and cardiovascular mortality among Swedish men. *Am J Public Health* 86:324-331.
- Kaniasty K, Norris FH. 2000. Help-Seeking Comfort and Receiving Social Support: The Role of Ethnicity and Context of Need. *Am J Community Psychology* 28:545-581.
- Kaplan GA. 1996. People and places: contrasting perspectives on the association between social class and health. *Int J Health Serv* 26:507-519.
- Kaplan GA, Turrell G, Lynch JW, Everson SA, Helkala EL, Salonen JT. 2001. socioeconomic position and cognitive function in adulthood. *Int J Epidemiol* 30:256-263.
- Karasek R, Theorell T. 1990. *Healthy Work: Stress, Productivity, and the Reconstruction of Working Life*. New York: Basic Books.
- Kawachi I, Kennedy BP, Glass R. 1999. Social capital and self-rated health: a contextual analysis. *Am J Public Health* 89:1187-1193.
- Kawachi I, Berkman LF. 2000. Social cohesion, social capital, and health. In: *Social Epidemiology* (Berkman LF, Kawachi I, eds). New York: Oxford University Press. p 174-190.
- Kessler RC, Michelson KD, Williams DR. 1999. The prevalence, distribution and mental health correlates of perceived discrimination in the United States. *J Health Soc Behav* 40:208-230.
- Kirschenman J, Neckerman KM. 1991. "We'd Love to Hire Them, But...": The Meaning of Race for Employers. Washington, D.C.: The Brookings Institution. p 203-234.
- Kjellstrand CM. 1988. Age, sex, and race inequality in renal transplantation. *Arch Int Med* 148:1305-1309.
- Klassen AC, Hall AG, Saksvig B, Curbow B, Klassen DK. 2002. Relationship between patients' perceptions of disadvantage and discrimination and listing for kidney transplantation. *Am J Public Health* 92:811-817.
- Kretzman JP, McKnight JL. 1993. *Building communities from the inside out*. Evanston: Northwestern University.

- Krieger J, Higgins DL. 2002. Housing and health: time again for public health action. *Am J Public Health* 92:758-68.
- Krieger N, Rowley DL, Herman AA, Avery B, Phillips MT. 1993. Racism, sexism, and social class: implications for studies of health, disease, and well-being. *Am J Prev Medicine* 9:82-122.
- Krieger N, Fee E. 1994. Social class: the missing link in u.s. health data. [review]. *Int J Health Serv* 24:25-44.
- Krieger N, Sidney S. 1996. Racial discrimination and blood pressure: the CARDIA study of young Black and White adults. *Am J Public Health* 86A:1370-1378.
- Krieger N. 1999. Embodying inequality: a review of concepts, measures, and methods for studying health consequences of discrimination. *Int J Health Serv* 29:295-352.
- Krieger N, Williams DR. 2001. Changing to the 2000 standard million: are declining racial/ethnic and socioeconomic inequalities in health real progress or statistical illusion? *American Journal of Public Health* 91:1209-1213.
- Kuo W. 1976. Theories of migration and mental health: an empirical testing on Chinese-Americans. *Soc Sci Med* 10:297-306.
- Kuper H, Marmot MG. 2003. Job strain, job demands, decision latitude, and risk of coronary heart disease within the Whitehall II study. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 57:147-153.
- Landsbergis PA, Schnall PL, Dietz DK, Warren K, Pickering TG. 1997. Job strain and health behaviors: results from a prospective study. *Am J Health Promotion* 12:237-245.
- LaVeist TA. 1989. Linking residential segregation to the infant-mortality race disparity in U.S. cities. *Soc Sci Res* 73:94.
- LaVeist TA. 1993. Segregation, poverty, and empowerment: health consequences for African Americans. *Milbank Q* 71:41-64.
- LaVeist TA. 1994. Beyond dummy variables and sample selection: what health services researchers ought to know about race as a variable. *Health Services Research* 29:1-16.
- LaVeist TA, Nickerson KJ, Bowie JV. 2000. Attitudes about racism, medical mistrust, and satisfaction with care among African American and White cardiac patients. *Medical Care Res Rev* 27:146-161.
- Lazarus RS, Folkman S. 1984. *Stress, appraisal and coping*. New York: Springer.
- Lee C. 1993. Beyond toxic wastes and race. In: *Confronting Environmental Racism: Voices from the Grassroots* (Bullard RD, Chavis B, eds).. Boston: South End Press.p 41-52.
- Lee C. 2002. Environmental justice: building a unified vision of health and the environment. *Environ Health Perspect* 110 Suppl 2:141-4.
- Lee, C. 2003. Personal Communication
- Lee J. 2000. The salience of race in everyday life: black customers' shopping experiences in black and white neighborhoods. *Work and Occupations* 27:353-376.
- Lewontin RC. 1982. *Human Diversity*. Redding: Scientific American/Freeman.

- Lioy PJ. 1990. Assessing total human exposure to contaminants. *Environ Sci Technol* 24:938-945.
- Logan JR. 2003. Ethnic diversity grows, neighborhood integration lags. In: *Redefining Urban and Suburban America* (Katz B, Lang RE, eds).. Washington, D.C.: The Brookings Institution.p 235-256.
- Lopez R. 2002. Segregation and black/white differences in exposure to air toxics in 1990. *Environ Health Perspect* 110 Suppl 2:289-95.
- Luke D, Esmundo E, Bloom Y. 2000. Smoke signs: patterns of tobacco billboard advertising in a metropolitan region. *Tobacco Control* 9:16-23.
- Maantay J. 2001. Zoning, equity, and public health. *Am J Public Health* 91:1033-41.
- Maantay J. 2002. Mapping environmental injustices: pitfalls and potential of geographic information systems in assessing environmental health and equity. *Environ Health Perspect* 110 Suppl 2:161-71.
- Macintyre S, Ellaway A, Cummins S. 2002. Place effects on health: how can we conceptualise, operationalise, and measure them? *Soc Sci Med* 55:125-139.
- Marmot MG, Kogevinas M, Elston MA. 1987. Social/economic status and disease. *Ann Rev Pub Health* 8:111-135.
- Marmot MG, Fuhrer R, Ettner S, Marks NF, Bumpass LL, Ryff CD. 1998. Contribution of psychosocial factors to socioeconomic differences in health. *Milbank Quarterly* 76:403-440.
- Massey D, Denton NA. 1988. The dimensions of residential segregation. *Soc Forces* 67:281-315.
- Massey D, Denton NA. 1993. *American apartheid: segregation and the making of the underclass*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Massey D. 2001. Residential segregation and neighborhood conditions in U.S. metropolitan areas. In: *America becoming: racial trends and their consequences* (Smelser NJ, Wilson WJ, Mitchell F, eds) Washington D.C.: National Academy Press.
- Mazumdar S, Mazumdar S, Docuayan F, McLaughlin CM. 2000. Creating a sense of place: The Vietnamese-Americans and Little Saigon. *J Env Psy* 20:319-333.
- McEwen BS. 1998. Protective and damaging effects of stress mediators. *New Eng J Med* 338:171-179.
- Merkin SS, Stevenson L, Powe N. 2002. Geographic socioeconomic status, race, and advanced-stage breast cancer in New York City. *Am J Public Health* 92:64-70.
- Meyer SG. 2000. *As long as they don't move next door: segregation and racial conflict in American neighborhoods*. Oxford: Rowman & Littlefield Publishing.
- Mohai P, Bryant B. 1992. Environmental racism: Reviewing the evidence. In: *Race and the Incidence of Environmental Hazards: A Time for Discourse*. (Bryant B, Mohai P, eds) Boulder, CO: Westview.
- Mohai P, Saha R. 2003. Reassessing racial inequality in the distribution of environmental hazards using distance based methods. Unpublished paper presented at the American Sociological Association.
- Morello-Frosch R, Pastor M, Jr., Porras C, Sadd J. 2002. Environmental justice and regional inequality in southern California: implications for future research. *Environ Health Perspect* 110 Suppl 2:149-54.

- Morello-Frosch R, PMA SJL. 2001. Environmental justice and Southern California's "riskscape": The distribution of air toxics exposures and health risks among diverse communities. *Urban Affairs Review* 36:551-578.
- Morenoff JD. 2003. Neighborhood mechanism and the spatial dynamics of birth weight. *Am J Soc* 108:976-1017.
- Morland K, Wing S, Diez-Roux AV, Poole C. 2002. Neighborhood characteristics associated with the location of food stores and food service places. *Am J Prev Medicine* 22:23-29.
- Morrison RS, Wallenstein S, Natale DK, Senzel RS, Huang LL. 2000. "We don't carry that"--failure of pharmacies in predominantly nonwhite neighborhoods to stock opioid analgesics. *New Engl J Med* 342:1023-1026.
- Moses M, Johnson ES, Anger WK, Burse VW, Horstman SW, Jackson RJ, Lewis RG, Maddy KT, McConnell R, Meggs WJ, et al. 1993. Environmental equity and pesticide exposure. *Toxicol Ind Health* 9:913-59.
- Mountain JL, Cavalli-Sforza LL. 1997. Multilocus genotypes, a tree of individuals, and human evolutionary history. *Am J Hum Genet* 61:705-718.
- Munnell AH, Tootell GMB, Browne LE, McEneaney J. 1996. Mortgage lending in Boston: Interpreting HMDA data. *Am Economic Rev* 86:25-53.
- National Research Council. 1987. Biological markers in environmental health research. *Environ Health Perspect* 79:1-191.
- National Research Council. 1991. Human Exposure Assessment for Airborne Pollutants: Advances and Opportunities. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press.
- National Research Council. 1991. Environmental Epidemiology. Vol. 1: Public Health and Hazardous Wastes. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press.
- Northridge ME, Shepard PM. 1997. Environmental racism and public health. *Am J Public Health* 87:730-2.
- Northridge ME, Stover GN, Rosenthal JE, Sherard D. 2003. Environmental equity and health: understanding complexity and moving forward. *Am J Public Health* 93:209-14.
- O'Neill MS, Jerrett M, Kawachi I, Levy JI, Cohen AJ, Gouveia N, Wilkinson P, Fletcher T, Cifuentes L, Schwartz J. 2003. Health, wealth, and air pollution: advancing theory and methods. *Environ Health Perspect* 111:1861-70.
- Ouis D. 2001. Annoyance from road traffic noise: a review. *J Env Psy* 21:101-120.
- Pastor M, Sadd J, Hipp J. 2001. Which came first? Toxic facilities, minority move-in, and environmental justice. *J Urban Affairs* 23:1-21.
- Pastor M. 2001. Geography and opportunity. In: *America Becoming: Racial Trends and Their Consequences* (Smelser N, Wilson WJ, Mitchell F, eds). Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press. p 435-468.
- Perera FP, Illman SM, Kinney PL, Whyatt RM, Kelvin EA, Shepard P, et al. 2002. The challenge of preventing environmentally related disease in young children: community-based research in New York City. *Environ Health Perspect* 110:197-204.

- Perera FP, Rauh V, Tsai W-Y, Kinney P, Camann D, Barr D, et al. 2003. Effects of Transplacental Exposure to Environmental Pollutants on Birth Outcomes in a Multiethnic Population. *Environ Health Perspect* 111:201-205.
- Perlin S, Setzer RW, Creason J, Sexton K. 1995. Distribution of industrial air emissions by income and race in the United States: an approach using the toxics release inventory. *Environmental Science and Technology* 29:69-80.
- Perlin SA, Sexton K, Wong DW. 1999. An examination of race and poverty for populations living near industrial sources of air pollution. *J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol* 9:29-48.
- Peterson RD, Krivo LJ. 1993. Racial segregation and Black urban homicide. *Soc Forces* 71:1001-1026.
- Peterson RD, Krivo LJ. 1999. Racial segregation, the concentration of disadvantage, and Black and White homicide victimization. *Sociol Forum* 14:465-493.
- Phoenix J. 1993. Getting the Lead Out of the Community. In: *Confronting Environmental Racism* (Bullard, RD, ed). Boston: South End Press. p 77-92.
- Pickett KE, Pearl M. 2001. Multilevel analyses of neighbourhood socioeconomic context and health outcomes: a critical review. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 55:111-122.
- Pirkle JL, Schwartz J, Landis JR, Harlan WR. 1985. The relationship between blood lead levels and blood pressure and its cardiovascular risk implications. *Am J Epidemiol* 121:246-58.
- Pirkle JL, Kaufmann RB, Brody DJ, Hickman T, Gunter EW, Paschal DC. 1998. Exposure of the U.S. population to lead, 1991-1994. *Environ Health Perspect* 106:745-50.
- Polednak AP. 1991. Black-white differences in infant mortality in 38 standard metropolitan statistical areas. *Am J Public Health* 81:1480-1482.
- Polednak AP. 1996. Segregation, discrimination and mortality in U.S. blacks. *Ethn Dis* 6:99-108.
- Pope CA, Verrier RL, Lovett EG, Larson AC, Raizenne ME, Kanner RE, et al. 1999. Heart rate variability associated with particulate air pollution. *Am Heart J* 138:890-9.
- Pulido L. 1996. A critical review of the methodology of environmental racism research. *Antipode* 28:142-159.
- Raudenbush SW, Bryk AS. 2002. *Hierarchical Linear Models: Applications and Data Analysis Methods*. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Rich RC, Edelstein M, Hallman WK, Wandersman AH. 1995. Citizen participation and empowerment: the case of local environmental hazards. *Am J Community Psychology* 23:657-676.
- Rios R, Poje GV, Detels R. 1993. Susceptibility to environmental pollutants among minorities. *Toxicol Ind Health* 9:797-820.
- Rosenbaum, E., Friedman, S., Schill, M. H., and Buddelmeyer, H. Nativity differences in neighborhood quality among New York City households. 1999.
- Ross CE, Jang SJ. 2000. Neighborhood disorder, fear, and mistrust: the buffering role of social ties with neighbors. *Am J Community Psychology* 28:401-420.

- Ross CE, Reynolds JL, Geis KJ. 2000. The contingent meaning of neighborhood stability for residents' psychological well-being. *Am Sociol Rev* 65:581-597.
- Saha S, Arbelaez JJ, Cooper LA. 2003. Patient-Physician Relationships and Racial Disparities in the Quality of Health Care. *American Journal of Public Health* 98:1713-1719.
- Sallis JF, Owen N. 1997. Ecological models. In: *Health Behavior and Health Education: Theory, Research, and Practice* (Glanz K, Lewis FM, Rimer BK, eds).. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, Inc.p 403-424.
- Sampson RJ, Raudenbush SW, Earls F. 1997. Neighborhoods and violent crime: a multilevel study of collective efficacy. *Science* 277:918-924.
- Schelling TC. 1971. Dynamic models of segregation. *J Mathematical Sociology* 1:143-186.
- Schlenger WE, Caddell JM, Ebert L, Jordan BK, Rourke KM, Wilson D, et al. 2002. Psychological reactoins to terrorist attacks: Findings from the national study of Americans' reactions to September 11. *JAMA* 288:581-588.
- Schmidt CW. 2001. The market for pollution. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 109:A379-A381.
- Schneider M, Logan JR. 1982. Suburban racial segregation and black access to local public resources. *Social Science Quarterly* 63:762-770.
- Schulz A, Israel B, Williams D, Parker E, Becker A, James S. 2000. Social inequalities, stressors and self reported health status among African American and white women in the Detroit metropolitan area. *Soc Sci Med* 51:1639-53.
- Schulz AJ, Williams DR, Israel BA, Lempert LB. 2002. Racial and spatial relations as fundamental determinants of health in Detroit. *Milbank Q* 80:677-707.
- Schuster MA, Stein BD, Jaycox LH, Collins RL, Marshall GN, Elliott MN, et al. 2001. A national survey of stress reactions after the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks. *New Engl J Med* 345:1507-1512.
- Schwartz A. 1998. Bank lending to minority and low-income households and neighborhoods: do community reinvestment agreements make a difference? *J Urban Affairs* 20:269-301.
- Selye H. 1936. Syndrome produced by diverse nocuous agents. *Nature* 138:32.
- Sexton K, Olden K, Johnson BL. 1993. "Environmental justice": the central role of research in establishing a credible scientific foundation for informed decision making. *Toxicol Ind Health* 9:685-727.
- Sexton K. 2000. Socioeconomic and racial disparities in environmental health: is risk assessment part of the problem or part of the solution. *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment* 6:561-574.
- Soloman BD, Lee R. 2000. Emissions trading systems and environmental justice. *Environment* 42:34-46.
- Sorensen G, Barbeau E, Hunt MK, Emmons K. 2004. Reducing disparities in tobacco use: a social-contextual model for reducing tobacco use among blue-collar workers. *Am J Public Health* 94:230-239.
- Sorlie PD, Backlund E, Keller JB. 1995. U.S. mortality by economic, demographic, and social characteristics: the National Longitudinal Mortality Study. *Am J Public Health* 85:949-956.
- Squires GD. 1994. *Capital and communities in black and white*. Albany: State University of New York Press.

Squires GD, Velez W. 1996. Mortgage lending and race: is discrimination still a factor? *Env Planning* 28:1199-1208.

Stephoe A, Feldman PA. 2001. Neighborhood problems as sources of chronic stress: development of a measure of neighborhood problems and associations with socioeconomic status and health. *Ann Behav Med* 23:177-185.

Sucoff CA, Upchurch DA. 1998. Neighborhood context and the risk of childbearing among metropolitan-area black adolescents. *Am Sociol Rev* 63:571-585.

Surwit RS, Schneider MS. 1992. Stress and diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 15:1413-1422.

Surwit RS, Williams PG. 1996. Animal models provide insight into psychosomatic factors in diabetes. *Psychosomatic Med* 58:582-589.

Susser M. 1994. The logic in ecological: II the logic of design. *Am J Public Health* 84:830-835.

Turner, M. A. and Skidmore, F. Mortgage lending discrimination: a review of existing evidence. 2001. Washington, D.C., The Urban Institute.

U.S.Census Bureau. Population profile of the United States:2000 (internet release). 2000.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. 1995. Report of the Expert Panel Workshop on the Psychological Responses to Hazardous Substances. Atlanta, GA, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. 1998. Tobacco Use Among U.S. Racial/Ethnic Minority Groups—African Americans, American Indians and Alaska Natives, Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders, and Hispanics: A Report of the Surgeon General. Washington, D.C., U.S. Government Printing Office. 1998.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. 2000. Healthy People 2010. Washington D.C., U.S. Government Printing Office.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2003. Framework for cumulative risk assessment. EPA/600/P-02/001F. Washington D.C., Office of Research and Development.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2003. Supplemental Guidance for Assessing Cancer Susceptibility from Early-Life Exposure to Carcinogens (External Review Draft). EPA/630/R-03/003. 2003. Washington,DC.

United Church of Christ. 1987. Toxic Wastes and Race in the United States: A National Report on the Racial and Socio-Economic Characteristics of Communities Surrounding Hazardous Waste Sites. New York, United Church of Christ, Commission for Racial Justice.

United States General Accounting Office. 1983. Siting of hazardous waste landfills and their correlation with racial and economic status of surrounding communities. Washington, D.C., General Accounting Office.

Waitzman NJ, Smith KR. 1998. Separate but lethal: the effects of economic segregation on mortality in metropolitan America. *Milbank Quarterly* 76:341-373.

Warr M, Ellison CG. 2000. Rethinking social reactions to crime: personal and altruistic fear in family households. *Am J Soc* 106:551-578.



- Wester RC, Quan D, Maibach HI. 1996. In vitro percutaneous absorption of model compounds glyphosate and malathion from cotton fabric into and through human skin. *Food Chem Toxicol* 34:731-735.
- Whiteis DG. 1992. Hospital and community characteristics in closures of urban hospitals, 1980-87. *Public Health Reports* 107:409-416.
- Williams DR, Collins CA. 1995. U.S. Socioeconomic and racial differences in health: Patterns and explanations. *Annual Review of Sociology* 21:349-386.
- Williams DR, Yu Y, Jackson JS, Anderson NB. 1997. Racial differences in physical and mental health: socioeconomic status, stress, and discrimination. *J Health Psychol* 2:335-351.
- Williams DR, Collins C. 2001. Racial residential segregation: a fundamental cause of racial disparities in health. *Public Health Rep* 116:404-16.
- Williams DR, Neighbors H. 2001. Racism, discrimination and hypertension: evidence and needed research. *Ethn Dis* 11:800-16.
- Williams RW. 1999. Environmental injustice in America and its politics of scale. *Political Geography* 18:49-73.
- Wilson WJ. 1987. *The Truly Disadvantaged*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Wilson WJ. 1996. *When Work Disappears: The World of the New Urban Poor*. New York, New York: Alfred A. Knopf.
- Winkleby MA, Cubbin C. 2003. Influence of individual and neighborhood socioeconomic status on mortality among black, mexican-american, and white women and men in the United States. *J Epi Comm Health* 57:444-452.
- Woodruff TJ, Parker JD, Kyle AD, Schoendorf KC. 2003. Disparities in exposure to air pollution during pregnancy. *Environ Health Perspect* 111:942-6.
- Yen IH, Syme SL. 1999. The social environment and health: a discussion of the epidemiologic literature. *Ann Rev Pub Health* 20:287-308.
- Yen IH, Ragland DR, Greiner BA, Fisher JM. 1999. Workplace discrimination and alcohol consumption: findings from the San Francisco Muni Health and Safety Study. *Ethn Dis* 9:70-80.
- Young CJ, Gaston RS. 2000. Renal transplantation in Black Americans. *New Engl J Med* 343:1545-1552.
- Zimmerman MA. 2000. Empowerment Theory: Psychological, Organizational and Community Levels of Analysis. In: *Handbook of Community Psychology* (Rappaport J, Seidman E, eds). . New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers.

Table 1. Segregation of Ethnic Minorities to Whites, United States, 1980-2000\*

	1980	1990	2000
American Indian	37.3	36.8	33.3
African Americans	72.7	67.8	64.0
Asians & Pacific Islanders	40.5	41.2	41.1
Hispanics	50.2	50.0	50.9

\* Segregation is measured with the Index of Dissimilarity. The Index measures the evenness of groups over space and can be interpreted as the percent of a particular group who would have to move in order to integrate the two groups over the region as a whole. For example, in the year 2000, 64% of all African Americans (or whites) would have to move to another census tract in order to integrate all metropolitan areas nationwide. Data are adapted from the U.S. Census (2003) (<http://www.census.gov/hhes/www/housing/ressseg/tab7-1.html>)

## Figure Legends

Figure 1 Exposure-Disease-Stress Model for Environmental Health Disparities

**Figure 1. Exposure-Disease-Stress Framework for Environmental Health Disparities**

